arXiv:1410.2097v1 [hep-th] 8 Oct 2014

Note on "Finite Field-Energy and Interparticle Potential in Logarithmic Electrodynamics"

Dmitry M. Gitman[1,2,3]*and Anatoly E. Shabad[2,3]†
[1]*Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo,*
Caixa Postal 66318, CEP 05508-090, São Paulo, S. P., Brazil
[2]*P. N. Lebedev Physics Institute, Leninsky Prospekt 53, Moscow 117924, Russia*
[3]*P. Tomsk State University, Lenin Prospekt 36, Tomsk 634050, Russia*

October 9, 2014

Abstract

We propose an identification of the free parameter in the model of nonlinear electrodynamics proposed in [1] by equating the second term in the power expansion of its Lagrangian with that in the expansion of the Heiseberg-Euler Lagrangian. The resulting value of the field-energy of a point-like charge makes 0.988 of the electron mass, if the charge is that of the electron.

Recently Gaete and Helayël-Neto [1] proposed a nonlinear Lagrangian for what they called the logarithmic electrodynamics, which, in the special case of presence of only electric field E, has the form

$$L = -\beta^2 \ln\left[1 - \frac{E^2}{2\beta^2}\right], \tag{1}$$

where β is a nonlinear coupling constant. For small fields one has $L = \frac{1}{2}E^2$, in correspondence with the classical electrodynamics. The same as in the Born-Infeld theory this Lagrangian has a singularity at a certain value of the electric field, namely $E = 2^{1/2}\beta$, which makes the maximum value of the electric field produced by a point-like charge Q

$$E(r) = \frac{4\pi\beta^2}{Q}\frac{1}{\left(r^4 + \frac{2Q^2}{\beta^2}\right)^{1/2} - r^2} \tag{2}$$

in the origin of coordinates $r = 0$, where the charge is placed. The fineness of the maximum electric field results in convergence of the integral for the electrostatic field-energy produced by the charge, again the same as in the Born-Infeld model. It is calculated in [1] as the space integral over the Noether energy density

$$\Theta^{00} = \frac{E^2}{1 - \frac{E^2}{2\beta^2}} + \beta^2 \ln\left(1 - \frac{E^2}{2\beta^2}\right)$$

taken on the field (2) to be

$$U = 4\pi \int_0^\infty \Theta^{00} r^2 dr = 0.391\sqrt{e^3\beta}. \tag{3}$$

To fix the model, the authors of Ref.[1] have proposed, when setting the point charge equal to that of the electron, $Q = e$, to equalize the maximum field $E = 2^{1/2}\beta$ with the characteristic QED value m^2/e, where m is the electron mass. This led them to the value of the field-energy $U = 4\pi\int_0^{1/m}\Theta^{00}r^2dr = 8.67\times10^{-4}m$ that makes a small part of the electron mass. In contrast to this suggestion, ours is to equalize the Lagrangian (1) and the Heisenberg-Euler Lagrangian within the accuracy to the second power in the field strength squared [2] (similarly to what we [3] and, previously, other authors (*e.g.,* [4]) acted in different models following [5]) $\frac{E^2}{2} + \frac{E^4}{8\beta^2} = \frac{E^2}{2} + \frac{E^4}{8}\frac{e^4}{45\pi^2m^4}$, which supplies the value

$$\beta = \frac{m^2}{e}\frac{3\pi\sqrt{5}}{e} \tag{4}$$

*Electronic address: gitman@dfn.if.usp.br
†Electronic address: shabad@lpi.ru

to the nonlinear coupling constant β in (1) and the maximum electric field $3\pi\sqrt{10}/e = 98$ times the characteristic value m^2/e . With the value 4 and taking into account that $e^2/4\pi = 1/137$, the field energy of the point charge (3) becomes impressively close to the electron mass:

$$U = 0.988m. \tag{5}$$

If one imagined that the whole mass of the electron might have been of purely electrostatic origin, $U = m$, such assumption would imply via (3) and (4) the equality

$$0.391\sqrt{e3\pi\sqrt{5}} = 1,$$

from which the value 1/130 would follow for $e^2/4\pi$. Certainly, other interactions, in which electron is involved, must also contribute into its field-mass.. The first of them all is the contribution of the static magnetic dipole field of the electron. Eq. (5) leaves yet the spare room of 1.2% for such extra contributions.

Supported by FAPESP under Processo 2014/08970-1, by RFFI under Project 14-02-01171 and by TSU via Competitiveness Improvement Program.

References

[1] P. Gaete and J. Helayël-Neto, Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, 2816 (2014).

[2] V. B. Berestetsky, E. M. Lifshits, and L. P. Pitayevsky, *Quantum Electrodynamics* (Nauka, Moscow, 1989; Pergamon Press, Oxford, New York, 1982).

[3] C. V. Costa, D.M. Gitman, and A.E.Shabad, arXiv:1312.0447 [hep-th]

[4] J.M. Dávila, C. Schubert, and M. Anabel Trejo, arXiv:1310.8410 [hep-ph]

[5] L. Infeld, Nature **137,** 658 (1936)